Multi-scale Fire Modelling Method Ventilated Tunnels for FDS6_1

Edmund Ang, Guillermo Rein, Joaquim Peiro, Roger Harrison, Izabella Vermesi



Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Multi-scale Modelling
- 3. Implementation of Multi-scale Modelling
- 4. Cold Flow Multi-scale Modelling Results
- 5. Multi-scale Fire Modelling Results
- 6. Conclusion
- 7. Reference

g

Introduction - Team

- Work carried out in 2014
- Led by Edmund Ang (Imperial College London), with collaborators:
 - Guillermo Rein (Imperial College London)
 - Joaquin Peiro (Imperial College London)
 - Roger Harrison (AECOM UK)
 - Izabella Vermesi (Imperial College London)
- Special thanks to software support from Thunderhead Engineering



Introduction - Multi-Scale Modelling

- Multi-scale modelling based on Colella *et al* [1]
- Previous work based on commercial CFD package and **Reynolds** Averaged Navier Stokes
- Multi-scale modelling using FDS6:
 - Not attempted before
 - Enabled by the FDS HVAC feature
 - Proof of concept by Vermesi *et al*[2]
 - Constant flow specified at boundary
 - No coupling of jet fans to the fire behaviour



- Increasingly denser and taller buildings
 - Scarcity of land resources
 - High rise buildings
 - Options for above ground rail or utility network limited
- Tunnel is often the more practical solution
- Tunnels can be used for rail, roads or utilities

- Ventilation is needed to most tunnels
 - Life safety (fumes or CO from fire)
 - Regulating temperature (train operation)
- Three main types of ventilation system
 - Longitudinal (jet fans)
 - Transverse (ducted supply and extract)
 - Semi-transverse (hybrid of ducted and non-ducted)



Figure 1. ECRL Rail Tunnel, Australia

- Design of tunnel ventilation system
- 1D / Subway Environment Simulation (SES)
 - Early stages of design
 - Fast computation
 - Provides global averaged prediction
 - Limitations on gas species or high resolution calculations

- 3D / Full CFD simulation
 - To validate the design carried out at the earlier stages
 - Time consuming
 - Provides high resolution predictions, e.g. gas species and combustion
 - Tunnel section models often shorten to reduce computational time
- Is there a best of both world?



- Multi-scale modelling method
- Using 1D for far field tunnel sections
- Full CFD for near field, or tunnel section of interest
- Significantly reduce the computational time
- Off-set computational time for longer tunnel section

- Multi-scale modelling method
- Direct and indirect coupling methods
- FDS6.1 is based on indirect coupling method
- Implemented using HVAC feature
 - Acknowledge this is not the intended use of HVAC

Multi-scale Modelling -Implementation

- Model is based on Dartford Tunnel West
- Cold flow field measurement data available [1]
- Dartford Tunnel West properties:
 - 1.5 km long
 - 14 jet fans pairs (JFP) with 8.3 m³/s per fan
- Key of multi-scale model
 - Interface between 1D and full CFD sections
 - Flow need to be fully developed



Multi-scale Modelling -Implementation Diagram



Figure 2. Representation of multi-scale model

Multi-scale Modelling - Implementation Details

- L_p is length of portal = 50 m
- LJF.UP and LJF.DW are length up and downstream of jet fans = 35 m and 130 m respectively
- Lfire is length each side of fire = 170 m
- Calibrated from running multiple models

- Cold flow modelling results presented separately in Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology [3]
- Good correlation from 80 m downwind of the jet fans
- Poorer correlation nearer to jet fans:
 - Lack of detailed information of installed jet fans
 - Difficulty to accurately model the jet fans
 - No accurate measurement of tunnel walls' surface roughness



Figure 3. Average velocities measured in the tunnel [3]





Figure 4. Multi-scale model velocity profile downwind of jet fans [3]





Figure 5. Multi-scale model velocity profile downwind of jet fans [3]





Figure 6. Reduction in computational time for the multi-scale model



- Adapted from the same cold flow multi-scale model
- Introduction of a fire in the middle of the tunnel
- Three fire sizes considered, 35 MW, 55 MW and 75 MW
- Validation study conducted (Arup Tunnel case)
- Mass flow rate is the measured variable
- Interesting behaviour observed in the multi-scale model

- Oscillatory mass flow observed
- Mass flow rates in multi-scale models do not stabilise compared to the full CFD model
- It is yet to be determined if the oscillation is numerical
- Similar oscillation for velocity and temperature observed by Vermesi *et al* [2]



Figure 7. Mass flow rates (35 MW) in the tunnel. Left: Mass flow in and out. Right: Mass flow along the tunnel.



Figure 8. Mass flow rates (55 MW) in the tunnel. Left: Mass flow in and out. Right: Mass flow along the tunnel.



Figure 9. Mass flow rates (75 MW) in the tunnel. Left: Mass flow in and out. Right: Mass flow along the tunnel.



Figure 10. Oscillating temperature and velocity in a tunnel fire [3]

Conclusion

- Multi-scale modelling using FDS6.1 + HVAC is feasible
- Can be used for cold flow multi-scale modelling
- Fire modelling using the multi-scale model:
 - Oscillating mass flow
 - Mass flow rates do not stabilise compared to the full CFD model
 - Should not be used until the above two questions can be answered

Reference

[1] F. Collela, G. Rein, and J. L. Torero. "A Novel Multiscale" Methodology for Simulating Tunnel Ventilation Flows During Fires." *Fire Technology* 47 (January): 221–253. Jan. 2011. [2] I. Vermesi, G. Rein, F. Colella, M. Valkvist, and G. Jomaas. "Reducing the Computational Requirements for Simulating Tunnel Fires by Combining Multiscale Modelling and Multiple Processor Calculation." Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology. 2016.

[3] C.D.E. Ang, G. Rein, J. Peiro, and R. Harrison. "Simulating Longitudinal Ventilation Flows in Long Tunnels: Comparison of Full CFD and Multi-Scale Modelling Approaches in FDS6." *Tunnelling* and Underground Space Technology 52 (February): 119–126. Feb. 2016.