

RAIL CAR OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT EVACUATION STUDY Fire and Evacuation Modeling Technical Conference 2024

ALISON DAVIS, MANUEL DAZA, ANIL KAPAHI | SEPTEMBER 19, 2024

jensenhughes.com

Outline

- + Motivation
- + Literature Review
- + Egress Model Development
- + Modeled Scenarios
- + Results
- + Conclusions and Recommendations

Motivation

- + Congestion within the operational environment
- + Understand egress from rail car in a tunnel and in a station using Pathfinder





Literature Review

- + John J. Fruin's Pedestrian Planning and Design, 1971
 - Level of service concept
- + SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, Chapter 59, Employing the Hydraulic Model in Assessing Emergency Movement
 - Unimpeded walking speed when density < 0.05 persons/ft²
 - Speed based on level of service A C
- + NFPA 130, Standard for Fixed Guideway Transit and Passenger Rail Systems
 - Speed based on level of service E and F



jensenhughes.com

Model Development Background

- + Pathfinder Version 2023.2.0816
- + Monte Carlo Method



- Pathfinder is a deterministic model
- Vary a parameter to see impact of stochastic characteristics and decision making
- + Steering Mode
 - Collision handling
 - Occupant interaction
 - Localized density effects







Run 2

Rail Car Geometry

- + Six cars per train
- + Rooms to represent seat location
- + 92 occupants per car
- + 552 total occupants per train
- + Reduce diameter to move through narrow geometry (11-inches) when capturing narrow aisles



Six car length rail car

Tunnel Geometry

- + Based on NFPA 130 requirements
- + Contains one train
- + Total of 552 occupants
- + 800 ft between exits
- + Platform is 44 inches





Platform width

Station Geometry

- + Based on NFPA 130 requirements
- + 2 trains in station
- + 8 stair/escalator sets from platforms to concourse
- + Consider maximum occupant loading
 - 552 occupants waiting on each platform
 - Additional 552 occupants on platform 2 to account for missed headway due to disruptions and delays (§5.3.2.5 of NFPA 130)
 - Total of 2,760 occupants



	Scenario	Maximum Walking Speed	Diameter	Height	Wall boundary layer	# of runs
Base Scenario	Pathfinder Default (D)	3.9 ft/s	17.9 in	6 ft	0.49 ft	25
Walking Speed	Fruin Distribution	Normal Distribution [1.9-5.9 ft/s] μ: 3.39 ft/s σ: 0.65 ft/s	17.9 in	6 ft	0.49 ft	25
	Simplified Distribution (SD)	F: 3.9 ft/s (50%) M: 4.5 ft/s (50%)	17.9 in	6 ft	0.49 ft	25
	NFPA 130 Default	2.06 ft/s	17.9 in	6 ft	0.49 ft	25
Additional Parameters	Diameter (D)	3.9 ft/s	17.9 in – 24 in	6 ft	0.49 ft	25
	Height (D)	3.9 ft/s	17.9 in	5 ft 3 in – 6 ft	0.49 ft	25
	Boundary Layer (D)	3.9 ft/s	17.9 in	6 ft	0 – 0.66 ft	25
	Diameter (SD)	F: 3.9 ft/s(50%) M: 4.5 ft/s (50%)	17.9 in – 24 in	6 ft	0.49 ft	25
	Height (SD)	F: 3.9 ft/s(50%) M: 4.5 ft/s (50%)	17.9 in	5 ft 3 in – 6 ft	0.49 ft	25
	Boundary Layer (SD)	F: 3.9 ft/s(50%) M: 4.5 ft/s (50%)	17.9 in	6 ft	0 - 0.66 ft	25
All	All Parameters	Normal Distribution [1.9-5.9 ft/s] μ: 3.39 ft/s σ: 0.65 ft/s	17.9 in – 24 in	5 ft 3 in – 6 ft	0 - 0.66 ft	25

Egress Model Results

- + Average egress time is 117% greater when using NFPA 130 compared to Pathfinder default
 - Speeds accounts for high density (Level of service E or F)
 - NFPA 130 walking speed (2.06 ft/s) yields unrealistic results and is not recommended for use in Pathfinder



Station Specific Input

- + Assume escalators shut down upon fire alarm activation
 - Treat escalators as stairs in Pathfinder
- + Occupants do not equally distribute between means of egress
- + Consider parameters that factor into cost (function of distance and time)



Station Specific Input

- + Current Room Distance Penalty
 - Basic implementation of fatigue
 - Default 35 m (114.83 ft)
 - Recommend to change to 0
 - Eliminates decision making as a function of concourse length
- + Equal distribution of occupants on escalator

	North Escalator			South Escalator			
Parameter	Distribution 1 (%)	Distribution 2 (%)	Total usage (persons)	Distribution 1 (%)	Distribution 2 (%)	Total usage (persons)	Total evacuation time (s)
Default	9	91	358	30	70	524	1197.3
Room Distance Penalty = 0	47	53	543	47	53	568	1131.8



jensenhughes.com

Variation in Evacuation Time

Scenario	Environment	Minimum Egress Time (sec)	Average Egress Time (sec)	Maximum Egress Time (sec)	[A] % Variation between min and max egress time	[B] % Variation relative to default value
		Walkin	ig Speeds			
Pathfindar Dafault (D)	Tunnel	334	359	392	17.0	0
Pathinder Delault (D)	Station	892	906	918	3.0	0
Erwin Distribution	Tunnel	345	366	398	15.0	1.9
	Station	1125	1145	1165	3.5	26.4
Simplified Distribution (SD)	Tunnel	303	332	367	21.0	-7.5
	Station	855	864	877	2.6	-4.6
Additional Parameters						
Diameter (DISD)	Tunnel	341 325	348 331	355 345	4.1 6.1	-3.1 -0.3
	Station	968 917	983 926	999 940	3.2 2.5	8.5 7.2
Hoight (DISD)	Tunnel	326 310	358 333	390 357	19.6 15.2	-0.27 0.3
	Station	898 853	910 863	922 876	2.6 2.8	0.49 -0.09
Boundary Layor (DISD)	Tunnel	305 285	346 315	444 356	46 16.7	-3.6 -5.1
	Station	853 805	864 822	884 832	3.6 3.4	-4.5 -4.9
All	Tunnel	349	367	402	15.0	2.1
	Station	977	995	1019	4.2	9.8



Individual Variation – Walking Speed

- + 17% variation in total egress time with the default Pathfinder inputs for tunnel geometry
- + 3.0% expected variation for the station geometry
- + Variation shows the importance of performing a sensitivity analysis
- + Similar conclusion for two operational scenarios utilizing Fruin and Simplified distributions



Default vs Scenario Average – Walking Speed

- + Total evacuation time is driven by slowest person in the group
 - 50% of occupants are moving at speeds less than 3.93 ft/s for Fruin
 - Average walking speed is 4.2 ft/s for the simplified distribution
- + Minimum speed from Fruin impacts the station more
- + Larger variation in Fruin walking speed distribution for station compared to tunnel



Scenario	Tunnel Variation (%)	Station Variation (%)
Default	0	0
Fruin	+ 1.9	+ 26.4
Simplified	- 7.5	- 4.6

Station vs. Tunnel – Fruin Distribution





Default vs Fruin Comparison for Station vs Tunnel

- + Impact of different occupant speeds are not seen until the occupants are out of the rail car
 - Tunnel is driven by the congestion in the rail car
 - Variation in station is driven by egress elements
- + Greater deviations between Default and Fruin averages in the station because congestion in rail car isn't the primary impact



Single Parameters + All Parameters

- + Single Parameters
 - Average diameter of occupant is increased
 - Impacts collision handling and increases the overall evacuation time
 - 75% of occupants have a smaller boundary layer
 - Increases walking space and allows for more efficient egress
 - Decreases total egress time
 - Height does not impact occupant egress in the environments that were studied
 - Similar conclusions can be drawn for diameter, boundary layer and height when using simplified distribution
- + All Parameters
 - Similar variation for tunnel
 - Reduced magnitude of variation seen with Fruin distribution in station

Scenario	Environment	% Variation relative to default value		
Diameter	Tunnel	-3.1 -0.3		
(D SD)	Station	8.5 7.2		
Hoight (DISD)	Tunnel	-0.27 0.3		
	Station	0.49 -0.09		
Boundary	Tunnel	-3.6 -5.1		
Layer (D SD)	Station	-4.5 -4.9		
A11	Tunnel	2.1		
AII	Station	9.8		

Preliminary Conclusions and Recommendations

+ Results only apply to distinct operational environments

+ Inputs

- Recommend to set current room distance penalty = 0
- Do not recommend to use NFPA 130 walking speed of 2.06 ft/s
- + Sensitivity analysis is important
 - Utilize Monte Carlo Method
- + Differences in egress times in operational environments attributed to geometry
 - Occupants cannot reach unimpeded speeds until leaving the rail car
 - Congestion effects in rail car propagate in tunnel
 - Impact of means of egress and queuing in the station results in stochastic walking speeds

Thank You!



(i) Alison Davis Associate Engineer alison.davis@jensenhughes.com

