Reconciling Mental Health Anti-ligature & Fire Engineering Requirements (A New Zealand Case Study)

Spencer Johnstone

Background – New Zealand Mental Health / Fire Engineering



Fire sensor icons created by kliwir art - Flaticon

Methodology – Building Geometry

- Based on review of several new & existing facilities
- Identified average bedroom size & configuration



Methodology – General Assumptions / Parameters

Model Parameter	Value				
Wall Leakage	0.1% Area				
Door Leakage	10mm Gap Around Door				
Cover Leakage	HVAC Model				
Smoke Detector	Optical Density at Alarm - 0.097m ⁻¹ (20% OBS)				
Characteristics	Radial Distance - 3.8m				
	Distance Below Ceiling - 25mm				
	Type – Heskestad Ionization (L=1.8m)				
Materials	Walls & Ceiling – Plasterboard				
	Floor – Concrete				
	Detector Cover – Steel (1mm)				
Mesh Dimension	0.1m				
Fire	Heat of Combustion (ΔH_c) – 20 MJ/kg				
	Medium Growth Rate				
	HRRPUA – 1000kW/m^2				
	Discretized Rectangular Burner				
Species Yield	CO – 0.04 kg/kg				
	Soot – 0.07 kg/kg				
Simulation Mode	Large Eddy Simulation (LES)				



Methodology – Scenarios

Model Scenario	Cover Configuration (total free area)	t ² Fire Growth Rate		
Scenario 1	No Cover	Medium (0.0117kW/s²)		
Scenario 2	Cover 1 (0.0090m ²)			
Scenario 3	Cover 2 (0.0500m ²)			
Scenario 4	Cover 3 (0.0125m ²)			
Scenario 5	Cover 4 (0.0180m ²)			
Scenario 6	Cover 5 (0.0045m ²)			
Scenario 7	No Cover	Fast (0.0469 kW/s ²)		
Scenario 8	Cover 1 (0.0090m ²)			
Scenario 9	No Cover	Slow (0.00293 kW/s ²)		
Scenario 10	Cover 1 (0.0090m ²)			

Results – Cover Configurations

Model Scenario	Cover Configuration (total free area)	Detector Activation Time (20% OBS)	Delay (s)	Multiplier
Scenario 1	No Cover	33.96	-	-
Scenario 2	Cover 1 (0.0090m ²)	65.30	31.34	1.92
Scenario 3	Cover 2 (0.0500m ²)	33.40	-	-
Scenario 4	Cover 3 (0.0125m ²)	54.14	20.18	1.59
Scenario 5	Cover 4 (0.0180m ²)	50.76	16.80	1.49
Scenario 6	Cover 5 (0.0045m ²)	71.48	37.52	2.10

Results – Cover Configurations



Results – Fire Growth Rate



Model Scenario	Cover Configuration (total free area)	t ² Fire Growth Rate	Detector Activation Time (20% OBS)	Delay (s)	Multiplier
Scenario 1	No Cover	Medium (0.0117 kW/s²)	33.96	-	-
Scenario 2	Cover 1 (0.0090m ²)		65.30	31.34	1.92
Scenario 7	No Cover	Fast (0.0469 kW/s²)	22.94	-	-
Scenario 8	Cover 1 (0.0090m ²)		40.46	17.52	1.76
Scenario 9	No Cover	Slow (0.00293 kW/s ²)	52.92	-	-
Scenario 10	Cover 1 (0.0090m ²)		92.00	37.08	1.74

Results – HVAC Model Sensitivity

- 20% difference
- Time delay multiplier could be up to 2.3x accounting for 'error'
- Detector lag time influence





Conclusions

- Current anti-ligature smoke detector solutions are not fit for purpose
- FDS HVAC model can quantify smoke detector cover impact
- Initial results identified up to 2.3x time delay
- Increasing smoke detector sensitivity alone is not the answer
- This study provides a framework and is not to be used directly in design

Thank You